Sea ice lead, March 2018, Disko Island, Greenland

Breaking up is hard to do – Simulating extreme sea-ice breakup events in the Arctic

Jonathan W. Rheinlænder*, R. Davy, E. Olason, P. Rampal, C. Spensberger, T.D. Williams, T. Spengler

*Post-doc | Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center | jonathan.rheinlaender@nersc.no

BCCR seminar, 29. November 2021

neXtSIM



BJERKNES CENTRE for Climate Research



SFI Smart Ocean SFI Climate Futures





Arctic sea ice - broken up and full of leads and crags

Taken from a low-flying airplane on March 26, 2011. NASA Earth Observatory

Case study: Breakup event - Beaufort Sea 2013



What factors influenced the 2013 breakup event?





I. Factors driving winter sea ice breakup – results from the neXtSIM model

II. Impacts of winter breakup events on Arctic sea ice

Introducing the neXtSIM sea-ice model



Methods

Stand-alone version of neXtSIM [Rampal et al. 2016; Olason et al. 2021]

■Polar-WRF atmospheric forcing [Hines et al. 2015]

■TOPAZ4 ocean forcing [Sakov et al. 2012]

Initial sea ice thickness and conc. from CS2SMOS [Ricker et al. 2017]

Simulating the 2013 breakup event

NeXtSIM simulation with Polar-WRF 10 km



Key ingredients for simulating the breakup

Sea ice rheology Atmospheric forcing

Sea ice thickness



Strong winds break the sea ice cover



Strong winds break the sea ice cover





Threshold for ice breakage: ~10 m/s

Preprint. Discussion started: 29 September 2021 © Author(s) 2021. CC BY 4.0 License



Causes and Evolution of Winter Polynyas over North of Greenland

Younjoo J. Lee¹, Wieslaw Maslowski¹, John J. Cassano^{2,3}, Jaclyn Clement Kinney¹, Anthony P. Craig⁴, Samy Kamal⁵, Robert Osinski⁶, Mark W. Seefeldt^{2,3}, Julienne Stroeve^{2,7}, Hailong Wang⁸

the majority of sea ice loss. Our analysis suggests that strong southerly winds (i.e., northward wind with speeds of greater than 10 m/s) blowing persistently for at least 2 days or more, were required over the study region to mechanically redistribute some of the thickest sea ice out of the region and thus to create open water areas (a latent heat polynya). In order to assess the role of internal variability versus external

Impact of <u>atmospheric resolution</u> on breakup



Comparison with optical and SAR data



Simulated and observed lead fraction (a-c) and deformation rates (d-f) on February 25. The breakup is simulated using the (a,d) Brittle Bingham-Maxwell (BBM) and (b,e) the modified elastic–viscous–plastic (mEVP) rheology.

Impact of atmospheric resolution on breakup



Impact of *ice thickness* on breakup



"Future scenario"

Conditions before the 2000's

Impact of *ice thickness* on breakup



Local impacts of sea ice breakup and their wider implications for Arctic sea ice

Impacts of winter breakup events

<u>Summer</u>





- More solar energy
 absored by ocean
- Accelerates sea ice melt

Impacts of winter breakup events



- Intense heat loss in open sea-ice leads
- Promotes new ice formation

- More solar energy absored by ocean
- Accelerates sea ice melt

Breakup enhances local ice growth



Changes in thickness distribution



Impact on ice growth and volume budget



leads 67 km³

pack ice 279 km³

Impact on ice growth and volume budget



250

MYI

200 ~

150

100

50

0

>1.6

(km³)

lce flux

Implications for Arctic sea-ice loss

Accelerated loss of MYI?



« ... we are seeing the Beaufort Sea go from a nursery to a graveyard for older ice»

Walt Meier, scientist at NSIDC

Implications for Arctic sea-ice loss



OPEN QUESTIONS

How does winter breakup events impact the timing of spring break-up and affect long-term Arctic sea-ice mass balance?

Missing ocean/atmospheric feedbacks?

Has the frequency of breakup events changed over time?

Implications for Arctic sea-ice loss



OPEN QUESTIONS

How does winter breakup events impact the timing of spring break-up and affect long-term Arctic sea-ice mass balance?

Missing ocean/atmospheric feedbacks?

Has the frequency of breakup events changed over time? Ridges in sea ice, Disko Island, Grønland

Brittle sea ice rheology as a key factor





- Same model resolution
- Same atmospheric forcing (*WRF10*)
- Only rheology is changed

Brittle Bingham-Maxwell (BBM)





